



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,572	10/23/2006	Eric Maziers	F-872 (31223.00098)	1582

25264 7590 04/04/2011
FINA TECHNOLOGY INC
PO BOX 674412
HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412

EXAMINER

LOPEZ, RICARDO E.

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1786

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/04/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/553,572	Applicant(s) MAZIERS ET AL.	
	Examiner RICARDO E. LOPEZ	Art Unit 1786	

All Participants:

(1) RICARDO E. LOPEZ.

(2) Larry Tarazan.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejections of record

Claims discussed:
9-12

Prior art documents discussed:
Prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Examiner's Answer to Notice of Appeal

(3) Diane Kilpatrck-Lee.

(4) _____.

Time: 11:00

/D. Lawrence Tarazano/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1781

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The discussion during interview centered in trying to understand Applicant's terminology in describing metallocene produced polymers comprising long chain branches , in comparison to the disclosure by Lai et al. US 5,278,272 [Col. 3, lines 57-68 and Col. 4, lines 1-10]. In particular the discussion focused on the claimed limitations for the long chain branched polymers.

No agreement was reached during interview .